HYDRIDE ABSTRACTION IN FSO,H AND OTHER NEDIA - AN ELECTRON TRANSFER MECHANISM

Richard M. Pagni, $*$ Paul A. Bouis, and Paul Easley

Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37916, U.S.A.

(Received in US4 **6** March 1975; received in UK for publication 17 June 1975) Fluorosulfonic acid (FSO₃H) and mixtures of FSO₃H and SbF₅ are among the very strongest acids known, $^{\cancel{1}}$ and numerous reactions occur in them that have no counterpart in other acids or solvents. 2 For example, treatment of saturated alkanes, such as isobutane, with FSO₃H-SbF₅ generates the corresponding carbenium ion by a process which is formally a hydride abstraction. 3 Some hydrogen is also produced in the reactions.

Recently we reported 4 that cycloheptatriene (1) and phenalene (3) are oxidized in FSO₃H, both in the presence and absence of SbF₅, to the corresponding carbenium ion. Remarkably, one equivalent of SO_2 and no hydrogen is produced in these reactions. SO_3 in liquid SO_2 also oxidizes these hydrocarbons. Whatever the process is by which alkanes are oxidized, the mechanism of oxidation in these latter reactions must be different.

The most obvious distinction between cycloheptatriene (1) and phenalene (3) and saturated alkanes is that the first two molecules have extensive π systems and form very stable carbenium ions, while saturated alkanes do not.

We set out to test the generality of the above statement, and some of the oxidations attempted, both in FSO_5H at -78° and H_2SO_4 at room temp, are shown in Table 1. In all cases the reactions were analyzed by nmr and ion identification was based on one or more of the following: (1) independent synthesis, (2) literature nmr values, and (3) a detailed analysis of the reaction nmr.

With the exception of $\underline{1}$, where there is extensive polymerization, and $1,2,5,6$ dibenzcycloheptatriene (9), if the ion formed, it formed cleanly; no other products were observed.^{5,11} 9 underwent extensive sulfonation in both FSO₃H and H_2 SO₄.

One could argue that at least for the hydrocarbons $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2}$, and $\frac{9}{2}$, where there is a classic double bond, the carbenium ion is formed by protonation of the double bond to form an ion which hydride abstracts from a second molecule of hydrocarbon. The following experiments refute this: (1) if the above were correct, no $S0₂$ should be generated, and one equivalent of SO_2 is formed in the oxidation of $\frac{1}{16}$; (2) 3 is oxidized to 4 in close to 100% yield; (3) when phenalane, the neutral product of the protonation-hydride abstraction mechanism on 3 , was subjected to the reaction conditions, it produced nmr signals not seen in the oxidation of 3; furthermore, phenalane was not oxidized to $\frac{4}{3}$ and, (4) quenching of the reaction mixture of $\frac{9}{2}$ in FSO₃H produced < 1% of product which could be attributed to a protonatlon-hydride abstraction process.

Phenalane

(a) Experimental value cited in Ref. 8; (b) Computed from MO theory by the method described in Ref. 8; (c) Experimental value cited in Ref. 9; (d) See Ref. 10; (e) See Ref. 11 ; (f) This reaction was not run.

If the above mechanism does not occur, can the results be explained by direct hydride abstraction? As can be seen in Table 1, there is no obvious correlation between ion stability (pK_{R+}) and whether the hydride abstraction occurs. Because there should be a correlation between ion stability and rate of direct hydride abstraction, 12 such a process seems unlikely here. 13

Because all the molecules which are oxidized in FS0.H and H_2SO_4 have large π systems, the oxidizing agent is behaving either as an electron acceptor or as an electrophile, and there should be nothing unique about FSO₃H, H₂SO₄ or SO₃ in this regard. Indeed, as seen in Table 1, the hydride abstractions also occurred with $NO₂⁺BF₄⁻$ in CH₃CN and T1(O₂CCF₃), in CF₃COOH¹⁴,¹⁹With the exception of 9, if the ion formed, it formed cleanly; no other products were observed. Treatment of 9 with NO₂⁺ did generate the ion, but other unidentified products were also formed. 9 also reacted with $T1^{+3}$, but no ion was formed here. Because of the diverse nature of the oxidants ueed, this fact reenforces the unlikelihood of a direct hydride transfer mechanism.

In view of Kochi's demonstration of the formation of radical cations in the thallation of electron rich aromatics, 15 the generation of the radical cation of 9,9dimethylthioxanthene in H_2SO_4 , 16 and the electrochemical oxidation of thioxanthene¹⁷ and cycloheptatriene, 18 an electron transfer mechanism, as shown below for $\rm{Ti}^{+3},$ represents an attractive explanation for most of these 'hydride" abstractions.

Further work to probe into the mechanism of these reactions is now in progress. 20

References and Notes

- (1) R. J. Gillespie and T. E. Peel, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 95 , 5173 (1973).
- (2) G. A. Olah, Angew. Chem. Internat. Ed., 12, 173 (1973).
- (3) G. A. Olah, Y. Halpern, J. Shen, and Y. K. Mo, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 95, 4960 (1973).
- (4) J. W. Larsen, P. A. Bouis, C. R. Watson, Jr., and R. M. Pagni, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96, 2284 (1974).
- (5) In those cases where hydride abstraction did not occur, protonation was usually observed in FSO₃H at -78° and sulfonation in H_2SO_4 at room temp. 12 underwent a cleavage in FSO_9H to give anisole and $4,4'$ -dimethoxybenzhydryl carbenium ion.⁰
- (6) P. A. Bouis, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Tennessee, 1974.
- (7) If phenalane had been oxidized to $\frac{1}{2}$ (and SO₂), a combination of this oxidation and the protonation-hydride abstraction mechanism could explain our earlier results.
- (8) A. Streitwieser, "Molecular Orbital Theory," John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1967, p. 365.
- (9) D. Bethel and V. Gold, "Carbonium Ions, An Introduction", Academic Press, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1967, Chapter 4.
- (10) J. Degani, et al., Boll. Sci. Fac. Chim. Ind. Bologna, 23, 243 (1965); Chem. Abst., 63, 13050g (1965).
- (11) Some sulfonation is observed in the reaction of 11 in H_2SO_4 : H. L. Shine and L. Hughes, J. Org. Chem., 31 , 3142 (1966).
- (12) N. C. Deno, G. Saines, and M. Spangler, $J.$ Amer. Chem. Soc., 84 , 3295 (1962) .
- (13) A constant steric requirement must hold forthe members of a series; this is not known here. This conclusion also depends on the rate of competitive processes, such as sulfonation and carbon-carbon bond clevage, which are not known.
- (14) Thioxanthene is also oxidized by Br_2 : E. K. Field, J. Org. Chem., 30, 937 (1965).
- (15) I. H. Elson and J. K. Kochi, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 95, 5060 (1973).
- (16) D. Deavenport, J. T. Edwards, A. L. Ternay, Jr., E. T. Strom, and S. A. Evans, J. Org. Chem., 40, 103 (1975).
- (17) P. T. Kissinger, P. T. Holt, and C. N. Reilley, J. Electroanal. Chem., 33, 1 (1971).
- (18) D. H. Geske, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 81, 4145 (1959).
- (19) It is interesting that xanthene is oxidized by $T1^{+3}$ and $N0_2^+$ but not by FSO₃H and H_2SO_4 . Xanthene is protonated on one of the benzene rings in FSO₃H at -78° .⁶ The lack of oxidation in FSO_5H and H_2SO_4 may be due to the much more negative pKa expected for protonated xanthene.
- (20) We wish to thank the Research Corporation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.